

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	Ю.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/798,510 03/11/2004		03/11/2004	Minh Duy Khuc	1271a 6383	
28004	7590	06/16/2005		EXAMINER	
SPRINT 6391 SPR	INT PARK	(WAY	BUI, BING Q		
	0101-Z210			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
OVERLAND PARK, KS 66251-2100				2642	
				DATE MAILED: 06/16/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		I				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/798,510	KHUC ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Bing Q. Bui	2642				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tte, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11	March 2004.					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ Th	is action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-93 is/are pending in the applicatio 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-93 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 11 March 2004 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to a cape a ca	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/11/04.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da  5) Notice of Informal P  6) Other:					

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Double Patenting**

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321 may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-93 of the instant application are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-93 of U. S. Patent No. 6,731,744 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent. Although the conflicting claims are not

Application/Control Number: 10/798,510 Page 3

Art Unit: 2642

identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the context of the claimed invention is similar to the context of the cited claim of the U.S. patent. The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common

subject matter, as follows: a system and method implemented by an intelligent network

for providing a call processing system to handle calls to a call center by obtaining

absolute address for a call center resource from a call center resource processor prior

to directing the call to the call center resource.

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bing Bui whose telephone number is (571) 272-7482. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 7:30 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ahmad Matar, can be reached on (571) 272-7488. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306 and for formal communications intended for entry (please label the response □EXPEDITED PROCEDURE□) or for informal or draft communications not intended for

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700. Min I Man

entry (please label the response "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT").

12 June 2005